



CRWP-4549-2026

-1-

**125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4549-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.04.2026

Kamlesh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Sohrab Dhanda Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to not to harass/implicate the petitioners in any false case at the instance of respondents No.4 to 7 on account of their live-in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondents. It is submitted that petitioner No.1 is married with respondent No.4 and has two children, whereas, petitioner No.2 is married with one Rimple and has three children. It is also submitted that both the petitioners are living separately from their spouses from the last two years. He further submits that the petitioners apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 7. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 15.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr.K.D.Sachdeva, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Commissioner of Police, Amritsar to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

29.04.2026			(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila			JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No