



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
107

CRM-M-21035-2026(O&M)
Date of Decision:27.04.2026

DILAWAR SINGHPetitioner
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANRRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr.P.S.Tiwana, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms.Guramrit Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Veer Vikram Singh Mann, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short ‘BNSS’), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.08 dated 16.02.2025, under Sections 323, 406, 498-A IPC (Section 323 deleted later on), 115(2), 316(2) and 85 of BNS (Section 115(2) deleted later on) registered at Police Station Women, Amritsar.
2. Vide order dated 21.04.2026, passed by this Court, the petitioner was directed to join investigation. The relevant portion of the said order is reproduced hereinafter:-

“XXXX XXXX

*Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the genesis of the instant
FIR, vide which sweeping allegations have been levelled against the petitioner,
lies in the matrimonial disputes between the parties, marriage between whom, was*



solemnized on 07.12.2024. It is submitted that though the first petition filed by the petitioner for seeking anticipatory bail was dismissed vide order dated 17.03.2026, however, there has now been a material change in the circumstances, inasmuch as the matter has been amicably settled between both the parties, rendering the present petition as maintainable. Learned counsel for the petitioner places reliance on the compromise along with affidavits which are at Annexures P-2 to P-4. He also submits that the petition for quashing of the above-said FIR on the basis of the said compromise, bearing CRM-M No.21467 of 2026, has also been filed, which is pending adjudication before this Court.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Ms. Guramrit Kaur, DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of State. She prays for an adjournment to file status report to clarify the factual position. Mr. Veer Vikram Singh Mann, Advocate has filed his vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.2 which is taken on record. He has not disputed the averments made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Adjourned to 27.04.2026, for filing of status report.

A perusal of the case file reveals that though the first petition for anticipatory bail was opposed by the respondent/wife, and in view of the prima facie gravity of the allegations, the same was dismissed by this Court; however, now the parties have amicably settled their matrimonial dispute and have executed a compromise deed to that effect. Accordingly, in view of the same, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023;-

(1) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.

(2) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts to



the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to Court or to any police officer.

(3) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Needless to mention that the investigating agency/officer shall continue with the investigation of the case in usual manner.

In view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it appropriate to also impose costs of Rs. 10,000/- each to be deposited by the petitioner and respondent No.2 in the Poor Patient Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh and furnish a copy of the receipts on or before the next date of hearing."

3. Status report by way of affidavit of Jaswinder Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (Crime against Women and Children), Amritsar City, filed by the learned State counsel is taken on record. Copy of the same has been furnished to the learned the petitioner. Learned State counsel, while relying upon the status report, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 23.04.2026, a compromise has been effected between him and respondent No.2, and no istridhan has been recovered during investigation

4. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 21.04.2026 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute, subject to the condition enumerated under Section 482(2) BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read as granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.



to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439 (2) of Cr.P.C.), in the event of violation of any term, stipulated under Section 482(20 BNSS, or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

April 27, 2026
anita

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No