

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14275-2021 (O&M)

Ishwar Singh Sangwan ... Petitioner
VS.

State of Haryana & Ors. ... Respondents

1.	Judgment reserved on	24.03.2026
2.	Judgment pronounced on	12.05.2026
3.	Judgment uploaded on	15.05.2026
4.	Whether operative or full judgment	Full
5.	Delay in pronouncement of full judgment and reasons, if any	NA

CORAM: HON’BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate and
Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Addl. AG Haryana

Sandeep Moudgil, J.

(1). The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, for issuing a writ of certiorari for quashing the order dated 05.07.2021 (Annexure P2) vide which the petitioner has been denied the revised pay scale of Rs.13,500/- - 17,250/- on the ground that he was not party CWP-5520-2003 decided on 02.02.2009 and thus seeks a direction to the respondents to allow the above said pay scale w.e.f. 01.01.1996 and consequently refix his pay and pension accordingly and release the arrears along with interest.

(2). Learned State counsel submits that as per the averments made in para 12 of the written statement filed by the respondents, the necessary relief has already been granted to the petitioner as such, nothing survives in this writ petition. Para 12 of the written statement read as under:-

“12. That in reply to the contents of para No.12 of writ petition, it is submitted that the pay of the petitioner has already been fixed in

the pay scale of Rs. 13500-17250/- w.e.f. 01.01.1996 and revised pension was also got fixed from PAG (A&E) Haryana as per the rules at the stage of Rs. 6882/- which is higher than the 50% of the initial of the pay scale of Rs. 13500-17250/- So far as grant of benefit of Government order dated 19.08.2009 (Annexure P-14) to the petitioner is concerned, it is submitted that the improved pay structure provided vide order dated 19.08.2009 is admissible to the officers who were in service as on 01.09.2009 and the same is not admissible to the retirees. Furthermore, the Government has granted PB-4 (37400-67000 plus Grade Pay of Rs. 8700) to the Superintending Engineers. The petitioner had retired from Government service as Executive Engineer prior to 01.09.2009 and thus, he is not entitled for revision of his pension in the PB-4 (37400-67000 plus Grade Pay of Rs.8700).”

(3). In view of the fact that the pay of the petitioner has already been fixed in the pay scale of Rs.13500-17250/- w.e.f. 01.01.1996 and revised pension was also got fixed from PAG (A&E) Haryana at the stage of Rs.6882/- higher than 50% of the initial pay scale, this writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

(4). Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

12.05.2026

V.Vishal

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? :
2. Whether reportable? :

Yes/No
Yes/No