

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026 PHHC 061694



(126)

**CRWP-4585-2026**

**Decided on : 23.04.2026**

**Date of uploading: 23.04.2026**

**Maninder Singh**

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

**State of Punjab and others**

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present:- Mr. Gurnoor S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner

\*\*\*\*

**SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)**

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

*“i) Issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing Official Respondents to take appropriate measures to protect life and liberty of Petitioner and to provide adequate police protection to the Petitioner for one (01) day so as to enable him to harvest the wheat crop sowed at his Family Land situated in Village Bhindi Saidan, Ajnala District Amritsar (Rural) and to avoid any untoward incident/law and order problem, as he is facing eminent threat to his life and liberty at the hands of Private Respondents who have already attacked and inflicted injuries to his Father and other Family Members, inspite of which they are roaring freely, owing to which Petitioner is not even residing at his House in the Village.*

*ii) With an alternate prayer to direct Respondent No. 3 - Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) to decide the Representation dated 07.04.2026 (Annexure P-10) which has been filed by Petitioner seeking protection of his life and liberty and adequate police protection for one (01) day so as to enable to him to harvest his wheat crop without any fear of being attacked by the Private Respondents.”*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for sometime, has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar

(Rural)) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 07.04.2026 made by the petitioner (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-10 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the strength of advance copy, Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. He has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioner, for decision of his representation dated 07.04.2026 (Annexure P-10), is directed for.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

(i) Respondent No.3 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural)) is mandated to look into the representation (Annexure P-10) moved by the petitioner, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 3 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioner immediately thereafter.

(ii) No order as to costs.

23.04.2026  
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)  
JUDGE

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes/No |