



CR-3009-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(314-1)

CR-3009-2024

Date of decision: - 07.05.2026

Hardial Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Malkiat Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nischal Chetanya Manchanda, Advocate,
Mr. Vipul Thakur, Advocate, and
Mr. Akash Chaudhary, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 23.01.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana whereby the application of the respondent for granting permission to inspect the file and to take photograph for comparison of the signatures of respondent on mutation with his standard signatures by Dr. Inderjit Singh Handwriting and Finger Print Expert has been allowed.
2. It is not disputed that the plaintiff/respondent is leading his evidence and the respondent/plaintiff moved an application for



permission to inspect the file and to take photograph for comparison of the signature of respondent/plaintiff on the mutation with the standard signature on the sale deeds summoned from the concerned record by Dr.Inderjit Singh, Handwriting and Finger Print Expert and the said application has been allowed by the trial Court after observing that no prejudice would be caused to the petitioners who are defendants in the case and they would have a right to cross-examine the said witness.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the primary concern of the petitioners is that the said sale deed which is of 1998 and has not been summoned by the Court should not, by virtue of the impugned order, be taken as proof of bearing standard signatures. It is further submitted that the objections of the petitioners to the said effect be kept open.

4. Learned senior counsel for the respondent has submitted that in case the petitioners raise any such objections, then, liberty be also granted to the respondent to raise all pleas as permissible in law.

5. Keeping in view of the above-said facts and circumstances and also a very fair stand taken on behalf of the petitioners as well as on behalf of the respondent, the present revision petition is disposed of by upholding the impugned order dated 23.01.2024 with the clarification that the passing of the present order would not be construed as an expression on the fact as to whether the sale deed can be considered to be bearing the standard signatures of Malkiat Singh or not and it would be open to both the parties to raise all objections and pleas during the course of arguments



CR-3009-2024

-3-

and would also lead all evidence in support of their respective stands, which aspect would be decided by the trial Court at the stage of final adjudication.

May 07, 2026
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No