



CWP-11784-2026

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-11784-2026

Date of Decision: 29.04.2026

Vipul Taneja

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Raminder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate and
Ms. Deepinder Kaur, Advocate for the petitionerMr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India
(*through video conferencing*) with
Ms. Arvind Seth, Senior Panel Counsel
for Union of India-respondent Nos.1 to 4

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to withdraw Look Out Circular (for short 'LOC') dated 18.04.2025 issued against him on account of FIR No.50 dated 27.03.2025.

2. As per petition, an FIR No.50 dated 27.03.2025 under Sections 85, 316(2) of BNS, 2023 has been registered against him at Police Station Khanna. He filed anticipatory bail which was disposed of by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana vide order dated 23.08.2025. The application was disposed of in view of protection granted by Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 06.08.2025. The petitioner had filed *Special Leave to Appeal (Criminal) No.11629 of 2025* before Supreme Court. The said petition was disposed of by Supreme Court with a direction to

**CWP-11784-2026****-2-**

respondents not to take coercive steps in aforesaid FIR till the conclusion of mediation of *CRM-M-29606-2025*. The respondent has opened LOC. He apprehends that as and when he will come to India, he would be detained at Airport.

3. Learned Senior counsel for Union of India submits that LOC has been opened on the asking of Superintendent of Police (Investigation), Khanna.

4. On being confronted with the fact that petitioner has already been granted anticipatory bail, dispute in question is a purely matrimonial dispute and *CRM-M-29606-2025* seeking quashing of FIR is pending before this Court, learned State counsel expressed his inability to justify continuation of LOC.

5. In the backdrop, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to Superintendent of Police (Investigation), Khanna to ask competent authority within a week from today to withdraw LOC. The competent authority on receipt of said letter shall withdraw LOC.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.04.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No