



241 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10895-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.04.2026

M/s Super Fine Grit Udyog and another ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present: Mr. Harinath Ram, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed with the following prayer:-

"It is therefore most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:-

a) issue of a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ(s), order(s), direction(s) thereby commanding respondents no. 1 to 2 to open/activate the e-rawanna portal of the petitioner and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners under article 21 and 14 of the Constitution of India."

2. The writ petition was entertained and notice of motion was issued on 19.05.2023. On 26.05.2023, the following order was passed:-

"Notice of this application.

Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent - State.



Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Dr. Madhvi Gupta, Mining Engineer, submits that in case, amount of penalty i.e. 16,03,200/- is deposited by the petitioners, though subject to decision of this writ petition, licence of the petitioner would be renewed within three days subject to other conditions being fulfilled by the petitioners with E-rawaana portal being made operative, without prejudice to the rights of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, submits that the amount in question would be deposited within the next one week. Pursuant thereto, necessary action, as has been stated before us, be taken. Needless to say, deposit and renewal etc. is without prejudice to rights of either party and is not an expression of opinion on the merits of the matter, vesting any party with any right.

At request, adjourned to 19.07.2023."

3. The matter thereafter was referred to Mediation but has been returned as non-starter. It is undisputed that pursuant to the orders passed by this Court, the petitioner has already deposited the amount of penalty amounting to Rs. 16,03,200/- and his e-rawanna portal was opened.

4. So far as the prayer made in the writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed. There is no challenge in the writ petition to the imposition of penalty. Though in the interim order reference was made to the stand of the State as per which the e-rawanna portal was to be opened on deposit of the amount of penalty, subject to the writ petition, but that in itself would not permit us to go into the legality of the penalty order once neither such imposition of penalty is challenged nor there are any grounds raised to assail it. It is the matter of fact that the penalty order is not even on record.

5. In view of the above, we have no hesitation in coming to the

conclusion that this petition has already outlived its purpose as the relief prayed for has been granted to the petitioner.

6. The writ petition is accordingly ***dismissed***.
7. The records of the petition are accordingly consigned.
8. It is, however, made open for the petitioner to challenge the imposition of penalty in appropriate proceedings.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

08.04.2026

neeraj	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No