

**224 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2028.PHHC.084000



CRM-M-21957-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.04.2026

KALA SINGH

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (439 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No.37 dated 11.03.2026 under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 registered at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 11.03.2026 when the police party was on routine patrolling duty, a secret information was received to the effect that petitioner is involved in the illegal sale and purchase of illicit liquor and lahan. Consequently, a raid was conducted at the house of the petitioner and he was found in possession of 100 litres of lahan and 20 litres of illicit liquor.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that no independent witness has been joined by the Police at the time of alleged recovery. The petitioner is in custody for the last 01 month and 14 days and he is not involved in any other case.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rohit Hans, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 month and 14 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner is in custody since 01 month and 14 days; he is not involved in any other case; the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

27.04.2026
Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No