

2026:PHHC:069127



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRM-M-21419-2026
Date of Decision: 05.05.2026**

JASWINDER KAUR

.. Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY.

Present: Mr. Anupam Mathur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No.201 dated 13.08.2025 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC (Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023) registered at Police Station Tripuri, District Ludhiana.

2. On 20.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the present FIR is a counter blast to the FIR got registered by husband of the petitioner against the complainant under Section 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC. Furthermore, an inquiry, Annexure P2, was conducted with regard to the complaint in question and no offence has been found committed therein. He also refers to settlement between the parties dated 19.02.2024, Annexure P3, wherein complainant had admitted to have taken Rs.15 lakhs in the year 2022 from the

petitioner. There is even otherwise 2 years delay in lodging the FIR. He submits that impersonation could not have taken place as they all knew each other much before the alleged agreement to sell dated 24.04.2023. She is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 27.04.2026. In the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 05.05.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case, the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the

merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 20.04.2026 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

MAY 05, 2026.
Rajender

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No