



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

CRM-M-21252-2026

Date of Decision: 20.04.2026

MANOJ KUMAR AND ANOTHER**.....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****...RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS (earlier Section 438 of Cr.P.C) with a prayer for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 166 dated 08.07.2023 under Sections 323,325,326,506,34 IPC (corresponding Sections 115(2), 117(2), 118(2) BNS) registered at Police Station Ding, District Sirsa.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners, along with their co-accused, attacked the complainant and inflicted grievous injuries. It is alleged that petitioner No. 1 was armed with a *kapa*, raised a *lalkara*, and gave a blow on the left arm of the complainant. Petitioner No. 2 was allegedly armed with a *gandasa* and inflicted a blow upon the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that, during the course of investigation, the petitioner was exonerated by the Investigating Officer and was not challaned; however, on an application moved by the complainant under Section 190 Cr.P.C./Section 210 BNSS, the learned trial Court, vide order dated 20.12.2025, allowed the said application and



summoned the petitioner to face trial. The petitioner undertakes to join and cooperate with the proceedings.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, learned AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

6. Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate, appears and files his memo of appearance on behalf of the complainant in Court today, and the same is taken on record. He submits that the petitioner played an active role in inflicting injuries upon the complainant and was wrongly exonerated by the police.

7. I have heard the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the petitioner was found innocent during the course of investigation and has been summoned subsequently only on an application filed by the complainant under Section 190 Cr.P.C., this Court is of the view that the present case is a fit one for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned trial Court to join the proceedings, and upon doing so, he shall move an application for regular bail. On such application being filed, the petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon furnishing requisite bail bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Court.

20.04.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No