



119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1082 of 2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.04.2026

KULWANT SINGH GILL AND ANR

.....Appellants

Versus

THE REGISTRAR, COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES UT, CHANDIGARH
AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma (Bhardwaj), Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate
Addl. Standing counsel and
Mr. Sahil Garg, Panel counsel for UT Chandigarh.

Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate, for respondents No.3 and 6.

Mr. Sarvjeet Singh Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate, for caveator/respondent No.12.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 17.03.2026 passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby the writ petition filed by the appellants has been dismissed.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellants submits that the finding recorded by the learned Single Judge is factually incorrect as the order upon the objection had already been passed by the Returning Officer, which fact has been incorrectly noticed by the learned Single Judge. Hence, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the appellants to file a review before the learned Single Judge. He further submits that in case, after the review, any grievance remains, liberty be given to file an appeal.

- 3. Ordered accordingly.
- 4. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

22.04.2026
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No