



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-21177-2026
Date of decision: 24.04.2026

PAWAN KUMAR @ PAWAN PRAJAPATIPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Amardeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Dr. (Ms.) Savi Nagpal, Asst. A.G. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 148 dated 11.08.2024, registered under Section(s) 109 and 3(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Hakima, Police Commissionerate Amritsar, District Amritsar.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the present case has been got registered against the petitioner on an allegation that the petitioner had gone to the office of the complainant to collect a sum of Rs. 2 lakhs, which he claimed to have been demanding from the complainant. Some altercation took place and the petitioner picked up the complainant from his legs and pushed him from the roof of the shop as a result whereof he fell down and suffered fracture on his legs and also on the ribs.

3. He contends that the petitioner has clean antecedents and is in

custody since 03.10.2024. He has, thus, undergone an actual custody of nearly 01 year and 06 months. It is submitted that out of 21 witnesses cited, only one has been examined so far.

4. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the same.
5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and have gone through the documents appended alongwith the present petition.
6. Without commenting on the merits of the present case and taking into consideration the period of actual custody of nearly 01 and half years undergone by the petitioner, his clean antecedents and the fact that only 01 witness has been examined so far, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.
7. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.
8. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.
9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

APRIL 24, 2026
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No