



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

253

CRM-M-22104-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.05.2026

NITIN ARORA AND OTHERS**...Petitioners****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh, Advocate
for Mr. Parwinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kshitij Bharti, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate
for Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 177 dated 01.04.2025 under Sections 316(2) and 85 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Sector 13-17, District Panipat and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 06.03.2025.
2. On 23.04.2026 this Court directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise.
3. In compliance of the order dated 23.04.2026, parties appeared before learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat on 29.04.2026. Statement of the Investigating Officer, L/PSI Kartik, Police Station Sector 13-17, Panipat was recorded on 01.05.2026. Learned Additional Chief Judicial



Magistrate, Panipat has submitted her report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion.

Relevant portion of the report is reproduced as under:

“1. As per statement of investigating officer, the present FIR was registered upon the complaint moved by complainant Parul against three persons namely Nitin Arora, Karan Arora and Vandana Arora and out of them only, challan/final report was submitted before the Court against accused Vandana Arora only and accused Nitin Arora and Karan Arora are yet to be joined in the investigation.

2. All the accused persons namely Nitin Arora, Karan Arora (through video-conferencing) and Vandana Arora (in person) appeared and made statements before the Court.

3. As per statement of investigating officer, no any additional accused has been added in the present case.

4. As per statement of investigating officer and as per record, none of the accused is declared absconder/proclaimed person.

5. As per statement of investigating officer, after the registration of FIR, no offence was added and deleted during investigation.

6. As per statement of investigating officer, investigation against accused Nitin Arora and Karan Arora is still pending as they are residing in abroad. None of the accused is declared innocent.

7. As per statement of investigating officer and as per record, only Parul is complainant/aggrieved person in the present case.



8. Complainant Parul appeared before the Court through video-conferencing and made her separate statement in support of compromise.

9. The trial is at the stage of arguments on charge.

10 Statements of both the parties have been recorded with regard to the genuineness and validity of the compromise in the present case and it appears that compromise is genuine, voluntary and out of free will of the parties.

11 As per statement of investigating officer, no any other criminal case or proceedings are pending against the accused.

12. Investigating officer L/PSI Kartik, Police Station Sector 13-17, Panipat, appeared before the Court and made her statement.”

4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. The dispute which has its genesis in a matrimonial discord warrants exercise of powers under Section 528 BNSS.

5. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in **“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another” 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and Hon’ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others” (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the petition is allowed.

6. FIR No. 177 dated 01.04.2025 under Sections 316(2) and 85 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Sector 13-17, District Panipat



with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, is quashed qua the petitioners.

7. Pending CRM(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

May 14, 2026

Ajay Goswami

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No