



220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21331-2026

Date of Decision: 24.04.2026

Date of Uploading: 24.04.2026

Fateh Singh

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Sra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.307 dated 04.11.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 103, 191(3), 190 61(2), 111 of the BNS, 2023, at Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana.

2. The case set up in the FIR in question (as set out in the present petition by the petitioner) is as follows:

"FIR No. 307 dated 04.11.2025 under Section 109, 103, 191 (3), 190, 61 (2) BNS, and section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Samrala, District Khanna. Statement of Dharmveer Singh @ Dharma son of Jarnail Singh resident of Manki PS Samrala District Ludhiana approximate age 25 years Mobile No: 73473-29186, "Stated that I am a resident of the above address and do the work of sale and purchase of cars. Yesterday, on 03.11.2025, I and my friends Gurwinder Singh S/O Rajinder Singh, Lovepreet Singh @ Laddu son of Manmohan Singh residents of Manki, went to serve near the telephone exchange to



confectioner as it was Gurupurab. We around 9 o'clock, all three of us walked towards the bridge built on the canal on the Baghor road and sat there. It was around 9:10 PM when four individuals on motorcycles arrived. Gurtej Singh @ Teji son of Harjit Singh resident of Chak Sarai PS Sadar Khanna, immediately fired a pistol towards me with the intent to kill, which I dodged but the bullet hit in the stomach of my friend Gurwinder Singh s/o Rajinder Singh @Raju. The second shot was fired by Harkamal Singh @ Karan son of Balwinder Singh R/O Madpur towards me, hitting my left hip as I was running away. Simmi resident of Balion shouted, saying, "Let's teach him a lesson for messing with Sandeep resident of Dialpura I don't know the name of the fourth unknown person. I had an old enmity with them. Three years ago, they had also pointed a 12-bore rifle at my chest in the village Dialpura, and I escaped then. Now, with the support of Ravi resident of Rajgarh and Sandeep resident of Dialpura, they attacked us. Seeing a crowd gathering, they fled the scene with their vehicles and weapons. Then, with the help of villagers and my friend Lovepreet Singh Laddu son of Manmohan Singh R/O Manki, I was admitted to CH Samrala for treatment. The entire incident was witnessed by Lovepreet Singh @ Laddu and some other villagers. Then, after providing first aid at CH Samrala, we were admitted to Government Medical College Hospital Sec 32 Chandigarh for treatment, where I am currently undergoing treatment. Gurtej. Singh @ Teji, Harkamal Singh @ Karan, Simmi R/O Balion, and an unknown person, with the support of Sandeep Singh R/O Dialpura and Ravi resident of Rajgarh, attacked us with the intent to kill, seriously injuring me and killing my friend Gurwinder Singh. Strict legal action should be taken against them I have given my statement to you, read and understood, it is correct. SD/- Dharmveer Singh."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 04.11.2025. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated into the FIR in question. Learned counsel has further submitted that assuming *arguendo*, the prosecution version is taken to be correct, the petitioner is not stated to be present at the spot of occurrence where the murder has taken place & the petitioner has been merely stated to be helping the co-accused. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is a man with clean antecedents. Thus, regular bail is prayed for.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the present petition by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are serious in



nature and, thus, he does not deserve the concession of the regular bail. Learned State counsel seeks to place on record custody certificate dated 23.04.2026 in the Court, which is taken on record.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the available records of the case.

6. The petitioner was arrested on 04.11.2025 whereinafter investigation was carried out and Challan was presented on 30.01.2026. Total 48 prosecution witnesses have been cited out of which none has been examined till date. It is thus indubitable that the conclusion of the trial will take long time. The rival contentions raised at Bar give rise to debatable issues shall be ratiocinated upon during the course of trial. This Court does not deem it appropriate to delve deep into these rival contentions, at this stage, lest it may prejudice the trial. Nothing tangible has been brought forward to indicate the likelihood of the petitioner absconding from the process of justice or interfering with the prosecution evidence.

6.1. As per custody certificate dated 23.04.2026 filed by the learned State counsel, the petitioner has already suffered incarceration for a period of 5 months and 13 days & is stated to be not involved in any other case/FIR.

Suffice to say, further detention of the petitioner as an undertrial is not warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case.

7. In view of above, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Ld. concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the concerned CJM/Duty



Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.
- (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (vi) The petitioner shall give his cell-phone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate.
- (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.

8. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

9. Ordered accordingly.

10. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

April 24, 2026
jatin

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No