



CRWP-4493-2026

1

122 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4493-2026**Date of Decision: 21.04.2026****FULMUNI SOREN AND ANOTHER****....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Ms. Dilpreet, Advocate for
 Mr. Chirag Suri, Advocate and
 Mr. Manish Kansra, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners as they are staying in live in relationship as per their will and do not interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners at the behest of private respondent No.4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a *live in* relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondent has been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as he is averse to their *live in* relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3 dated 14.04.2026, learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, Punjab seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions



CRWP-4493-2026

2

are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, Punjab to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of *live in* relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, Punjab to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.
6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

21.04.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No