



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116+208

CWP-13595-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.01.2026

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nikhil Lather, Advocate, for respondent Nos.2 to 28.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

CM-239-CWP-2026

This is an application for placing on record an affidavit on behalf of the petitioners.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the affidavit on behalf of the petitioners is taken on record.

CWP-13595-2019 (O&M)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 07.01.2019 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No. 2-Central Administrative Tribunal, Regional Bench, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'Tribunal'), by which, services of respondent Nos.2 to 28 have been ordered to be regularized after imparting relevant training as per DOP&T within three months.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners very



fairly submits that similar relief granted in favour of the other similarly situated employees was challenged before the Jammu and Kashmir High Court by filing LPASW No.40 of 2019, decided on 19.05.2022, wherein, relief granted in favour of the similarly situated employees has been upheld as even the Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No(s).36636/2022 filed challenging the said order of the Jammu and Kashmir High Court, was dismissed on 12.12.2022.

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 28 submits that once, the same relief granted to the similarly situated persons has been upheld upto the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India, challenge to the similar relief claimed by the respondents is liable to be rejected.

4. Keeping in view the agreement entered into between the parties, as the grant of similar relief qua the similarly situated persons has already been upheld upto the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India and the Special Leave Petition filed by the Government of India against the order passed by the Jammu and Kashmir High Court has already been dismissed, the present petition is also dismissed.

5. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

January 13, 2026

harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No