

CR-4334-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision :17.01.2023

SUKHDEV SINGH

...Petitioner

versus

SURJIT SINGH AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. S.K. Biriwal, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Mr. S.K. Biriwal, Advocate, who is appearing on behalf of the petitioner requests for an adjournment on account of non-availability of learned arguing counsel.

[2] A perusal of the paper-book reveals that the instant petition was filed on 26.05.2022 challenging order, Annexure P/6 dated 31.03.2022 passed by the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Dabwali, District Sirsa allowing the application filed by the respondent(s) under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC and directing the petitioner/plaintiff to pay the ad valorem Court fee before 14.09.2022. On 11.10.2022, on request of learned counsel for the petitioner, the case was adjourned for today. Today, Mr. S.K. Biriwal, Advocate requests for an adjournment on the ground that counsel for the petitioner is out of station.

[3] Request for adjournment is declined and Mr. S.K. Biriwal, Advocate, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner asked to argue the case. He, however, states that he has no instructions in this regard.

[4] Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.01.2023

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*