

226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC.070225



CRM-M-24398-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.05.2026

CHAND @ CHAND RAM

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vinod Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Arnav Ghai and Ms. Kashish Sahni, Advocates
for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) (439 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No. 150 dated 08.06.2020, under Sections 120-B, 148, 149, 302 IPC (Sections 201, 216, 420, 109, 114 IPC and Section 29 of Arms Act added later on) (corresponding Sections 61(2), 191(3), 190, 103, 238, 253, 318(4), 49, 54 BNS) registered at Police Station Kasola, District Rewari, Haryana.

2. The case of the prosecution is based upon the statement of the complainant-Sumit @ Sunni who alleged that his brother-Amit and his friend-Govind Saini were called by one Vaishali to Kasola Chowk, Rewari with an intention to kill them. While they along with one Vikas were on the way, one Baleno Car and two motorcycles in which the accused persons, namely, Harkesh, Manish, Sunder, Kali and other unnamed persons were present, had stopped their vehicle. All the accused persons are alleged to have fired indiscriminately, due to which Amit and Govind Saini succumbed

assembly and was among the conspirator to commit the murder of Amit and Govind Saini.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been implicated falsely in the present case. The petitioner has not been named in the FIR. Moreover, co-accused Sube Singh and Yashpal @ Sarpanch have already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 18.03.2026 passed in CRM-M-73728-2025 and CRM-M-8685-2026 respectively apart from 6 other co-accused who have already been granted bail by the Co-Ordinate Bench of this Court (Annexures P-8 to P-14). He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 05 years, 06 months and 26 days and prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 05 years, 06 months and 26 days. It is further stated that out of total 62 cited prosecution witnesses, 34 have been examined.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the co-accused have already been granted bail by the Co-Ordinate Bench of this Court; petitioner is in custody for the last 05 years, 06 months and 26 days; out of 62 cited prosecution witnesses, 34 have been examined; the conclusion

of the trial is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

06.05.2026
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No