



CRWP-4346-2026

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

153

CRWP-4346-2026
Decided on: 16.04.2026

JYOTI PANDEY AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Ms. Kavita, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Jyoti Pandey	Mukesh Kumar
Age (in years)	27 years	35 years
Date of marriage	15.04.2026	
Rite	Hindu	
Represented on	15.04.2026 (Annexure P-5)	
Submitted to	Superintendent of Police, Ambala	
Family members arrayed as	Respondent Nos.4 to 8	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Jyoti Pandey is major, and is a resident of District Gonda, Uttar Pradesh, however, her parents and brothers (respondent Nos.4 to 8) are residents of House No.238, near Community Centre, Sarangpur,



CRWP-4346-2026

2

Chandigarh. Thus, counsel submits that petitioner No.1 and her family members are all residents of UT, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 15.04.2026 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Ambala, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Ambala, to look into the representation dated 15.04.2026 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

16.04.2026

Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO