



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11554-2026(O&M)
Date of decision: 19.05.2026

Narinder Kumar Banka

... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Randhawa, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Karanyog Riar, Advocate,
Ms. Mamta, Advocate, and
Mr. Vinay Saroha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Addl. Standing Counsel,
Mr. Sabir Singh, Jr. Panel Counsel, and
Mr. Nitesh Jhajoria, Advocate,
for the respondent-UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to protect his and his family members' life at the hands of private respondents. He is also seeking direction to respondents to look into his complaints seeking protection of life and liberty. He is also seeking direction to private respondents not to indulge in any kind of harmful and dangerous activities against him & his family and to maintain proper distance from his son.
2. The petitioner is a practicing advocate. His son is a law student and a national level athlete.



3. Learned Additional Standing Counsel for UT, Chandigarh, on instructions from Inspector Kulwant Singh submits that petitioner as well as private respondents time and again were examined by the jurisdictional Police Officer. It was found that petitioner's son is a meritorious student and he has been recognized as a Sportsman at the National level. He is seven times State champion athlete. All the complaints of the opposite side have been found false and baseless. During the course of hearing, he produced a status report of police authority which is taken on record.

4. Learned Additional Standing counsel assures the Court that as and when petitioner gets any threat or finds interference in his life by private respondents and informs the jurisdictional Police Officer, immediate remedial and corrective measures would be taken up.

5. In the wake of the statement made by learned Additional Standing counsel for UT, Chandigarh the petition stands disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

May 19, 2026

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No