



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRM-M- 23139-2026(O&M)

Date of decision: 13.05.2026

Himanshu Chauhan @ Hunny Pahadi

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Divya Narula, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Paras Talwar, Sr. DAG Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. This second petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.423 dated 25.06.2022, registered under Section(s) 21-B of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Civil Lines, District Sirsa.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the present FIR was registered consequent upon recovery of 09 grams of *Heroin* from co-accused/Anmol. It is contended that during investigation, the disclosure of the said co-accused was recorded to the effect that he had purchased the said contraband from the petitioner. She contends that the petitioner was later arrested on 21.10.2024 and since then he has been in custody and the trial has yet not commenced. It is argued that no prosecution witness out of the total of 16 has been examined so far and the trial is likely to take a long time to conclude.

3. Learned counsel for respondent-State does not dispute the aforesaid factual aspects, he, however, contends that the petitioner has a

history of involvement in such and similar cases. It is however not disputed that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner in the present case and that the trial is yet to commence.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances as noted above, including the period of actual custody undergone by the petitioner, lack of recovery from him in the present case, he being nominated in the present case as an accused solely on the basis of disclosure of co-accused, the stage of the trial, the recovery effected in the present case falling under the category of intermediate quantity and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

5. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

13.05.2026

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No