



111 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11517-2026

Date of decision: April 18, 2026

NAVNEET KUMAR NARULA

....Petitioner

Versus

UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Bhupesh Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Ghai, Additional Standing Counsel with
Ms. Mahima Dogra, Junior Panel Counsel for UT, Chandigarh.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner's grandfather – Sohan Lal was the owner of Booth No. 173, Sector 35D, Chandigarh, which was resumed in the year 2001.

2. Learned counsel asserts that after the death of Sohan Lal on 16.03.2010, one of his legal representatives – Baldev Kumar got the property transferred in his favour on the basis of a false affidavit. Learned counsel states that petitioner, who is the son of Surinder Kumar, sibling of Baldev Kumar, has moved representations, Annexures P-9 and P-10, before the authorities for the revocation of illegal transfer.

3. Be that as it may, petitioner being a son of one of legal representatives of original allottee has no right in the property. He can neither file this writ petition nor agitate the alleged wrongful transfer of the property in the name of one of his uncles.
4. Writ petition is not maintainable and is dismissed as such.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

April 18, 2026
Rts

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No