



103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21529-2026
DECIDED ON: 22.05.2026**

PURAN CHAND**.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA**.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Ms. Preeti Goyal, Advocate, for
Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Sartaaaj Anjum Mor, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.150, dated 04.02.2026, under Section 420 of IPC (section 318(4) of BNS), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Sirsa.

2. After hearing the submissions addressed by counsel for the petitioner, on 22.04.2026, following order was passed by this Court:-

“1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has received the required instructions from the petitioner, that he is willing to deposit an amount of Rs.6,00,000/- within a period of three weeks from today, in the form of an FDR issued by a nationalised bank, in favour of the complainant, with the Investigating Officer or before the Court of learned Area Magistrate.

2. List again on 22.05.2026.

3. Let the petitioner deposit the aforesaid amount of Rs.6,00,000/- within a period of three weeks from today, in the form of an FDR issued by a

nationalised bank, in favour of the complainant, with the Investigating Officer or before the Court of learned Area Magistrate.

And in that event, petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 06.05.2026, and in the event of his arrest, petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

4. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner has produced Demand Draft No.327589 dated 13.05.2026, amounting to Rs.6,00,000/-, instead of FDR issued by a nationalised bank, as directed by this Court vide order dated 22.04.2026.

4. In this regard, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FDR could not be prepared as the petitioner does not maintain a bank account with SBI.

5. However, in order to demonstrate his *bona fides* and compliance with the directions issued by this Court, the aforesaid demand draft amounting to Rs.6,00,000/- has been handed over to learned counsel for the complainant, who is also present before this Court today.

Photocopy of the said demand draft is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place in the case file.

6. In view of the above, this Court does not find it necessary to keep the present petition pending any further and, accordingly, deems it appropriate to dispose of the same, with the direction to the petitioner to join the investigation within two weeks from today or as and when called by the investigating officer, and in the eventuality of the arrest, petitioner would be released on anticipatory bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

7. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

8. However, it is clarified that in case, complainant/prosecution fails to prove the charges against the petitioner, the amount so received shall be refunded to the petitioner along with interest @ 6% per annum, upon final conclusion of the proceedings in the present case.

9. With the directions recorded here above, present petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.05.2026

Lavisha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>