



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

125

CRM-M-22223-2025 (O&M)
Decided on : 07.03.2026

Areyan . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

UT Chandigarh and others . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sandeep Gahlawat, Advocate (through V.C.)
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor, UT Chandigarh.

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate along with
respondent No.3/injured - Subhasish (present in-person).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Areyan	190	22.12.2024	109, 3(5) of BNS, 2023	Sector 39	Chandigarh

2. In the present case, on 29.05.2025, following order was passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court:-

“CRM-23024-2025

Application under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short ‘BNSS’), for placing on record the Annexures R-1 to R-4.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions. Annexures R-1 to R-4 are taken on record.

Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.



CRM-22994-2025

Application under Section 528 of BNSS for preponing the date of hearing in the main case which is pending for 08.07.2025.

Notice of application to the non-applicant/respondents.

Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, UT, Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 and Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate accept notice on behalf of respondent No. 2 and both of them raise no objection in case the application is allowed.

In view of the above and for the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Consequently, the main case, which is fixed for 08.07.2025, is preponed and taken up on Board today itself.

Main Case

Contends that matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e petitioner as well as respondent No.2 and quashing petition on the basis of compromise in this regard has already been filed before this Court.

Posted for 13.08.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner be released on interim bail in the present case, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

To be heard along with CRM-M-30745-2025.”

3. Thereafter, on the previous date of hearing, i.e., 06.03.2026, following order was passed by this Court:-

“1. After hearing the submissions addressed by counsel for the petitioner, that matter has been amicably settled between the parties, i.e. petitioner as well as respondent No.2, the co-ordinate Bench of this Court extended the concession of interim bail to the petitioner, vide order dated 29.05.2025, which is continuing till date.

However, injured namely Subhasish, has neither been made party to the compromise, nor impleaded as party to the present petition.

2. Accordingly, injured namely Subhasish, son of Vishwa Nath, resident of House No.566, Ekta Colony, Village Balongi, District SAS Nagar, (Aadhar Card No.6532 4642 9087, Mobile No.95017-26272) is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.3 in the present petition.

Registry is directed to do the needful in the memo of parties.

3. List again on 07.03.2026.

4. Let newly added respondent No.3 be informed about the next date of hearing, through the SHO of the concerned Police Station.



5. *Interim order to continue, till the next date of hearing.”*

4. Today on the very outset, Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of injured – Subhasish (who is also present in-person in Court), and files her duly signed *Vakatlanama* in Court today, which is taken on record.

5. Respondent No.3/injured – Subhasish, who is present in person in Court, on being queried by the Court, states that the dispute with petitioner has now been completely resolved and he has no objection if petitioner is granted concession of regular bail in the present case.

Said injured/respondent No.3 – Subhasish is also identified by his counsel as well as by ASI Parvinder Singh, who is present in Court today.

6. Taking note of the fact that dispute between parties has already been amicably resolved, as recorded in the previous order dated 06.03.2026, this Court deems it appropriate to extend concession of regular bail to petitioner. Accordingly, interim order dated 29.05.2025, vide which petitioner was extended concession of interim bail, is hereby made **absolute**.

Consequently, without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.



8. Any of the discussion done and recorded here-above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

9. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

10. Petition stands **disposed of**.
Misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 07, 2026
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No