



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-20835-2026
Date of decision: 23.04.2026

SANJEEV KUMAR @ SANJU

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Ms. Tanya Vashist, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Paras Talwar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 298 dated 28.07.2023 registered under Section(s) 148, 149, 120-B, 302, 411 and 476 of IPC and Section 25(1) of the Arms Act at Police Station Farukh Nagar, District Gurugram.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner is at par with his co-accused Sandeep @ Doctor and Akashay Rawal @ Kuldeep who have already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court vide common order dated 16.03.2026 passed in CRM-M-62484-2025 and CRM-M-62555-2025.

3. Counsel for the respondent-State on the other hand does not dispute the aforesaid facts and admits that the case of present petitioner is at

parity with above mentioned co-accused persons

4. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and have gone through the documents appended alongwith the present petition.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the present case and taking into consideration that the case of the petitioner is at parity with his co-accused namely Sandeep @ Doctor and Akashay Rawal @ Kuldeep, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

APRIL 23, 2026

Vishal Sharma

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No