



CRM-M-21738-2026

-1-

**232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21738-2026

Date of Decision: 18.05.2026

Jasbir Singh @ Lalla

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Amardeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner (through VC).

Mr.Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.199 dated 17.10.2025, registered under Sections 109, 132, 221, 111 of BNS, 2023 and Section 25(8) of Arms Act, at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar.

2. Succinctly, the facts of the case are that on 17.10.2025 while on patrolling, the police party received secret information to the effect that Jasbir Singh @ Lalla (the petitioner), who was wanted in several criminal cases and allegedly had links with gangster Happy Jatt, had formed a gang on his direction and was hiding in a Dera located near the Government Dispensary. It was informed that in case of case, he could be arrested along with arms and ammunitions. On finding the information reliable, raid was conducted at the disclosed place. On seeing the police party, the accused fired at the police party with an intention to kill. In order to warn the accused, ASI Yadwinder Singh fired shot in air from his service revolver. Thereafter, accused again fired another shot from his pistol. Upon this, SI Chander Mohan fired two shots and ASI Yadwinder Singh fired one shot



CRM-M-21738-2026

-2-

from their respective service revolver, out of which two shots hit the legs of accused and with the result accused fell down on the ground and he was apprehended by the police party. On the registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. On completion of the investigation, challan was presented. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar praying for the grant of bail. However, after hearing both the sides and finding no merit in the same, learned Court declined the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 25.02.2026. Hence being aggrieved, the petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition praying for the grant of regular bail.

3. It has been vehemently contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely and frivolously implicated in the present case. He contends that on the cock and bull story, the petitioner has been roped in the present case. He contends that the petitioner was alleged to be armed with pistol and he allegedly fired as well. However, no injury has been received by the any of the police officials, rather it was the petitioner, who suffered fired arm injury on both of his legs. He submits that though the petitioner was falsely implicated in three other cases, however, he is on bail in those cases. He submits that the investigation is already complete and the petitioner is behind the bars from the last six months. He, thus, has submitted that in the overall facts and circumstances, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has vehemently controverted the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He submits that specific secret information regarding the petitioner had been received, pursuant to



which the police party reached the disclosed location, where the petitioner, instead of surrendering, opened fire at the police party, and in retaliation, the police party also fired at him. On instructions, he submits that only challan is presented, however, charges are yet to be framed. He has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record.

5. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner in the present case was arrested on 17.10.2025 on the basis of the secret information. Though the petitioner was alleged to be armed with weapon and he alleged fired at the police officials, however, no injury had been received by the police party, rather it was the petitioner who suffered two fire arm injuries. As per custody certificate of the petitioner, he has already suffered incarceration of 06 months & 25 days as on 17.05.2026. It further shows that the petitioner is facing prosecution in three other cases, out of which in one case, he is on bail and in two cases, was arrested on production warrants. Investigation of the case is already complete.

6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.



CRM-M-21738-2026

-4-

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.
8. It is being clarified that in case the petitioner does not furnish bail/surety bonds within a period of one week from today, his custody will not be counted in the present case after one week.
9. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

18.05.2026
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No