IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133

CRM-M-21225-2026
Decided on : 20.05.2026

Parvinder Singh @ Parwinder Singh . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Dhiraj Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

****

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Instant second petition, under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 482 Cr.P.C.), has been filed for quashing of order dated 13.05.2022 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Judge, Special Court, Sangrur, whereby, after cancellation of the bail granted to the petitioner, non-bailable warrants were issued against him on account of his non-appearance in case bearing No. NDPS/23/2020, titled as "State of Punjab v. Parwinder Singh", arising out of FIR No.90 dated 21.06.2019, registered under Sections 21 and 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur (Annexure P-2).

2. After registration of the FIR on 21.06.2019, petitioner was granted bail vide order dated 19.07.2019 (Annexure P-3), as the quantity of narcotic contraband allegedly recovered from him was non-commercial in nature.

However, due to his non-appearance before the learned trial

JAWALA RAM
2026.05.22 14:14
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Court on 13.05.2022, the concession of bail granted to him was cancelled and non-bailable warrants were issued against him on the same date.

Thereafter, petitioner was arrested in another criminal case, i.e., FIR No.05 dated 28.01.2023, registered under Section 387 IPC at Police Station Cheema, District Sangrur, and consequently, he again remained confined inside jail.

3. At the first instance, petitioner filed CRM-M-33494-2025 (Annexure P-9) for quashing of the same order dated 13.05.2022 (Annexure P-1), which is also under challenge in the present petition.

Said petition was dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars vide order dated 01.07.2025 (Annexure P-9). For ready reference, the said order is reproduced here-

> *" The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 528 of BNSS, with prayer to quash the a orders dated 13.05.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed by Ld. Special Court, Sangrur, whereby the bail order of the petitioner was cancelled and bail/surety bonds were also forfeited to State and arrest warrant was issued, arising out of NDPS-23-2020(CNR No. PBSG010004632020 & Filing No. 339/2020) arising out of FIR-90 dated 21.06.2019, P.S.- Dirba, District- Sangrur, U/S 21,29,61 & 85 of NDPS Act, being clear abuse of process of law.*
>
> *Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to file fresh with liberty to file fresh with better particulars.*
>
> *Prayer is accepted.*
>
> *Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid."*

4. From the record, this Court finds that petitioner does not deserve the relief claimed through the instant petition. Firstly, after having been granted concession of bail vide order dated 19.07.2019 (Annexure P-3), petitioner misused the said concession and absented himself from the Court proceedings, compelling the learned trial Court to cancel his bail and issue non-bailable warrants vide order dated 13.05.2022 (Annexure P-1).

JAWALA RAM
2026.05.22 14:14
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Thereafter, after a lapse of more than three years, petitioner approached this Court by filing CRM-M-33494-2025, which too was withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars vide order dated 01.07.2025 (Annexure P-9).

However, instead of filing the fresh petition immediately thereafter, the present petition has been instituted after a further delay of about 10 months and 15 days from the date of dismissal of the earlier petition. Such conduct on the part of the petitioner deserves to be deprecated. Petitioner, after committing the default and misusing the concession of bail granted to him, has failed to show due respect towards the process of law and the proceedings before the Court.

Therefore, finding no merit in the instant petition, the same is hereby **dismissed**.

5. The Investigating Officer is directed to take immediate necessary steps for securing the arrest of the petitioner.

Let a copy of this order be also forwarded to the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police for information and compliance.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 20, 2026
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No

JAWALA RAM
2026.05.22 14:14
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document