



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-11559-2026

Date of Decision: 08.05.2026

LAJWANTI

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Rajbir Singh Kadian, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. A. S. Virk, Advocate
for respondents no.3 to 5.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the Pension Payment Order (PPO) dated 30.04.2025, Annexure P-2, whereby the petitioner's pension has been wrongly fixed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that at the time of retirement with effect from 30.04.2025, she was drawing pay at the rate of ₹56,700. However, her pension has been fixed vide the impugned PPO by taking the last pay drawn as ₹48,700 plus Dearness Allowance, which is contrary to the Rules. Besides, this was done on the basis of pay fixation order dated 15.07.2019, Annexure P-4, without affording any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the University does not dispute the facts aforementioned and fairly submits that the petitioner will be afforded due opportunity of hearing after issuance of show cause notice. The impugned PPO will be reviewed in the light of response to the show cause notice as well as submissions by the petitioner in that regard.
4. In view thereof, the order of refixation of pay dated 15.07.2019 is set aside with liberty to the University to pass a fresh reasoned order in accordance with the law after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner in compliance with the Principles of Natural Justice.
5. Disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

07.05.2026
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>