

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:036367



(230)

FAO-1299-2005(O&M)

Date of Decision:-10.03.2026

SURINDER SINGH

... Appellant

Versus

KULDEEP KUMAR AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-. -

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Paul S. Saini, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant today. Same was the position since 19.01.2024. This is an old appeal and no one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant for a long time, so, it seems that appellant is not interested in pursuing the instant appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution, miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them .

10th March, 2026
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No