



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(145)

**CRWP-4294-2026
DATE OF DECISION: 16.04.2026**

Raja Sharma

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Jasvinder Singh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Present criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for directing the official respondents no.3 and 4 to search and produce the detainee – Vansh Sharma, aged 8 years, minor son of the petitioner before this Court, who is in the illegal and wrongful confinement of the respondents no.5 and 6 i.e divorced wife of the petitioner and her live in relationship partner respectively.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent no.5/wife left the minor son in 2022 and is living with respondent no.6, who is allegedly involved in multiple criminal cases; that since then the minor has been in the care and protection of the petitioner and his family; that the custody of the minor is not in dispute, as the same stands granted to the petitioner vide judgment and decree dated 21.04.2025 passed by the Family Court, Narnaul; that School records also show that the child has been residing with and studying under the petitioner's care; that the petitioner has already moved a complaint/representation dated 05.04.2026 to Station



CRWP-4294-2026

House Officer, City Narnaul requesting for saving their child from the clutches of Asha Rani and Ravi Saini (present respondents no.5 and 6) and taking legal action but no action has been taken so far; that the welfare and safety of the minor are at risk due to the conduct of respondents no.5 and 6, leading to the filing of the present petition. In support of his contentions, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon law laid down by this Court in Mandeep Kaur versus State of Punjab and others 2021(1) RCR (Civil) 152, Gippy Arora versus State of Punjab and others 2012(4) RCR (Civil) 397 and the law laid down by High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Special Appeal No. 1205 of 2025 titled Smt. Rinku Ram @ Rinku Devi and another versus State of U.P and seven others, decided on 03.04.2026.

- 3. Heard.
- 4. Perusal of Annexure P-1 i.e judgment dated 21.04.2025, passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Narnaul, shows that custody of the child has been granted in favour of the petitioner. Ergo, the present petition is **disposed of** with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Mohindergarh to take action on complaint/representation of the petitioner dated 05.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law.

16.04.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No