



CRWP-4274-2026

1

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4274-2026**Date of Decision: 17.04.2026**

**NAVNEET KAUR AND ANOTHERPETITIONERS
VERSUS**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate security/ police protection to the petitioners and also directing respondent No. 4 to 11 to not interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioners and directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to not to allow respondents No. 4 to 11 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and life of the petitioners or harass them in any manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a *live in* relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their *live in* relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3 dated 11.04.2026, learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka seeking police help but in vain. Learned

**CRWP-4274-2026****2**

counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of *live in* relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

17.04.2026*renu*

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No