



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-4267-2026

Date of decision : 15.04.2026

Sahil Saini and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Som Nath Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents no.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner no.1 was born on 16.01.2000 as is apparent from his Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) and petitioner no.2 was born on 03.11.2002 as is apparent from her Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2), and have married each other on 03.04.2026, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon the marriage certificate (Annexure P-3) and photographs (Annexure P-4). It is also stated



by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 13.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) has also been given to respondents no.2 and 3 with regard to the same.

- 3. Notice of motion to respondent nos.1 to 3 only.
- 4. On advance notice, Vaibhav Sharma, AAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent no.2- Superintendent of Police, Ambala, looks into the representation dated 13.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.
- 5. After considering the above said facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Ambala, to look into the representation dated 13.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent no.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.
- 6. It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 15, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No