

2026:PHHC:072869



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-20635-2026
Date of Decision: 11.05.2026

LOVEPREET SINGH @ LOVELY

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY.

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No.04 dated 06.01.2026, under Sections 304, 298, 126(2), 351(3), 115(2), 324(4), 190 and 191(3) of BNS, 2023 (Corresponding Sections 295, 341, 506, 323, 427, 149 and 148 of IPC) registered at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda.

2. On 27.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is delay of one day in lodging the FIR. Learned counsel further submits that co-accused Janta Singh and Jagtar Singh have already been granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide a common order dated 20.04.2026 passed in CRM-M-20622-2026 and CRM-M-20623-2026. Moreover, a compromise has

been arrived at between the parties, pursuant where to, co-accused Avtar Singh @ Tari has also been granted regular bail by the trial Court vide order dated 20.04.2026 passed in Bail Application NO.120 of 2026, wherein it has been recorded by the trial Court that “complainant Pritam Singh has appeared in Court and made a statement that he has compromised the matter with the accused and he has no objection if accused is granted regular bail.” Counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 04.05.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/ Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 11.05.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case, the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the

investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 27.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

MAY 11, 2026.

Rajender

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No