

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-LP-33-2025 in
LPA-3394-2024 (O&M)
Date of Reserved: 25.02.2026
Date of Pronouncement: 06.03.2026.**

**The workmen through President Thein Dam
Workers Union CITU** **....Applicant.**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **...Respondents.**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

.....

Argued by: Mr. Tahf Bains, Advocate
for the applicant.

Ms. Pooja Nayar Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Sukhvinder Kaur, J.

The present review application, under Order 47 Rule 1 of CPC read with Article 226 of Constitution of India has been filed for review of the order/ judgment dated 17.03.2025 passed by this Court in LPA-3394-2024 in CWP-14358-2000.

Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that while passing the judgment dated 17.03.2025, it has been recorded that demand notice was served on 22.07.1999, which is factually incorrect. The date of demand notice when the claim was raised by the applicant before the respondent is 21.12.1998. He has further submitted that copy of demand notice dated 21.12.1998 has been attached with the review application as Annexure A2,

which had already been placed on record of the paper book as Annexure R1. He has requested that as this error is apparent on the face of the record, so the same may be corrected by allowing the present review application.

Learned counsel for the applicant has placed on record the copy of demand notice dated 21.12.1998 as Annexure A2. The applicant has not relied upon any new material and it is already part of the paper book as Annexure R1.

The perusal of Annexure P1 on the paper book reveals that it was the statement of claim that had been filed by the applicant on 22.07.1999. Thus, from Annexure R1, it is clear that the demand notice had been served on 21.12.1998, which date has been incorrectly recorded as 22.07.1999.

Keeping in view the above, the present review application is allowed to the extent that in Para No.7 of the judgment dated 17.03.2025, the date in the second line and 10th line be read as '21.12.1998' instead of '22.07.1999'.

All pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE**

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

06.03.2026.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No