



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

146

**CRWP-4277-2026
Decided on : 16.04.2026**

Simerjeet Kaur and another . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Simerjeet Kaur	Ajay Kumar
Age (in years)	47 years	30 years
Date of marriage	11.03.2026	
Rite	Hindu Rites	
Represented on	08.04.2026	
Submitted to	Superintendent of Police, Karnal	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 9	

2. Petitioner No.1 – Simerjeet Kaur is stated to have been earlier married to one Sarav Singh @ Hoshiyar Singh. However, her husband expired on 12.09.2021 (wrongly typed as 20.09.2021 in the true copy), while being in the USA (P-3).

Petitioner No.2 – Ajay Kumar was also earlier married to one Pooja. However, said marriage stood dissolved by way of a decree of



divorce by mutual consent dated 20.11.2025 (P-4).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that both petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 08.04.2026 (Annexure P-7), to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Karnal, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

4. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

5. On asking of the Court, Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is **disposed of** with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Karnal, to look into the representation dated 08.04.2026 (Annexure P-7), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

7. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 16, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No