



130

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11463-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.04.2026

M/S BABA RAJINDER SCREENING PLANT

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present: Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aadeshwar Singh Pannu, AAG, Punjab.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. Controversy raised in the present writ petition stands finally adjudicated by this Court in CWP-22658-2025, titled as ***M/s Kahlon Stone Crusher vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 11.12.2025. The observations made by this Court in para No.10 is reproduced herein after:-

“10. In view of the analysis and discussions, aforesaid, we allow all the writ petitions and consequently the demand notices as well as the orders passed in appeals, which are impugned in respective writ petitions, are quashed. The State of Punjab shall, however, be at liberty to proceed against the petitioners by scrupulously following the procedure laid down for determination of royalty either for violation of Rules, 2013 or Crusher Policy, 2023, by passing appropriate orders of assessment in accordance with law. For such purposes, the State of Punjab shall also be at liberty to issue notices specifying the nature of violation attributed to each of the petitioners, in terms of the rules/policy, wherever applicable, giving the petitioners a reasonable opportunity of hearing, in the matter.”

2. Learned counsel for the parties do not dispute that the controversy raised is identical. In that view of the matter, the present writ petition is allowed *mutatis mutandis* in terms of order passed in CWP-22658-2025 (supra).
3. All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

17.04.2026
Mohit Goyal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No