



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4191-2026

Date of decision: 10.04.2026

SONIA AND ANR.

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 to 9 not to interfere in their lives and liberty. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 08.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Malerkotla and they shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 08.04.2026 (Annexure P-5).
2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Shukla, DAG Punjab who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties. In view of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2– Senior Superintendent of Police, District Malerkotla is directed to look into the representation dated 08.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) qua threat perception only and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate order, in accordance with law. This order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

5. With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

10.04.2026
puneet

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No