



CRM-M-21710-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131

CRM-M-21710-2025(O&M)

Decided on: 03.02.2026**Rashmeet Kaur and others****.....Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Darshan Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Alankar Narula, Advocate
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate
Ms. Gauri C. Kaushal, Advocate
for respondents No. 6 to 8.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 528 of BNS, seeking quashing of FIR No. 71 dated 02.04.2025, under Section 305 of BNSS, registered at Police Station Sadar, District Mansa.
2. The dispute is, in fact, matrimonial in nature, as Petitioner No. 1-Rashmeet Kaur, is the wife of Respondent No. 7, Gurvinder Singh.
3. On 25.04.2025, while issuing notice of motion, following order was passed:

“ Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS for seeking quashing of the FIR No.71 dated 02.04.2025, registered at Police Station Sadar, District Mansa (Annexure P-1), under Section 305 of BNS, 2023.

Petitioner No.1-Rashmeet Kaur is wife of respondent No.7-Gurvinder Singh, who got married on 20.02.2024.



Therebeing a matrimonial dispute between them, at the instance petitioner No.1, FIR No.117 dated 08.03.2025, (Annexure P-2) was registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa against respondent No.7 and his other family members. In the said FIR there was an allegation of withholding of the stridhan by the accused (respondents herein) and it is on the statement of respondent No.6 (father of respondent No.7) that the said stridhan articles have already been taken away by petitioner-Rashmeet Kaur by leaving the matrimonial home and on that account the impugned FIR herein has been registered, which in fact is nothing but a counter-blast.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Let petitioner No.1 and respondent No.7 be present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 20.05.2025.

To be taken up at 2:00 PM.”

3. On 20.05.2025, realizing that the matrimonial dispute could be resolved through amicable means, Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent No. 7 were directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. The proceeding was adjourned to await the report, which, in fact, did not materialize.

On the subsequent date of hearing, learned State counsel apprised the Court that the final report, in the form of a cancellation report, was likely to be submitted. Thereupon, on 11.12.2025, the following order was passed:

**“CRM-49547-2025**

- i) *Present application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking preponement of the date of hearing of main case i.e. CRM-M-21710-2025 which is fixed for 11.03.2026.*
- ii) *Notice of the application.*
- iii) *Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab and Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate appear on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5/State and 6,7,8 respectively.*
- iv) *After hearing learned counsel for the parties and the reasons enumerated in the application, same is allowed and main case i.e. CRM-M-21710-2025 is preponed from 11.03.2026 to 11.12.2025 i.e. today.*

CRM-M-21710-2025

- i) *In view of the order of even date passed in CRM-49547-2025, the main case, i.e., CRM-M-21710-2025, is taken up for hearing.*
- ii) *Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on 28.05.2025, proceedings were deferred to 30.09.2025 by this Court with the expectation that the trial Court would take a final decision on the cancellation report submitted by the prosecution agency.*

Despite the submission of the cancellation report on 19.06.2025, more than five months have passed and no final decision has been taken.

- iii) *Let the reason for the delay be explained by the trial Court concerned before the next date of hearing.*
- iv) *List on 03.02.2026.*
- v) *In case no final decision has been taken, the same shall be ensured before the next date of hearing.*
- vi) *To be shown in the urgent list. ”*

4. Today, Court was again apprised by the counsel for the Respondent/State, as well as the counsel for the private respondents, that the cancellation report had, in fact, been submitted. Complainant,

however, filed a protest petition, which has now been treated as a complaint case.

5. In view of this, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition, as petitioners are required to defend themselves by appearing before the Court below in the complaint case, if ever summoned in the future.

6. In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, present petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

03.02.2026
Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES/ NO
Whether Reportable:	YES /NO