



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

207

CRM-M-20525-2026 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 22.05.2026.

Baldev Singh

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Petitioner.

....Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Ms. Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. This 3rd petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of petitioner	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Baldev Singh (30 years)	212	20.12.2022	22/61/85 of NDPS Act	City Samana	Patiala

2. As per allegations, 1000 loose intoxicant tablets were recovered from a green coloured polythene bag, which was being handled by co-accused Menka @ Maneka (wife of the present petitioner), while occupying the rear seat of the motorcycle.

3. The first bail petition (CRM-M-725-2025) filed by the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 06.03.2025 passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court. The second bail petition (CRM-M-42948-2025)

of the petitioner was disposed of vide order dated 10.03.2026 passed by this Court. Thereafter, the petitioner filed application for bail before the trial Court, however, the same was also dismissed, vide order dated 08.04.2026.

4. From the custody certificate dated 21.05.2026 filed by learned State counsel, in Court today, it is found that petitioner is involved in two more cases under NDPS Act i.e. FIR No.22 dated 06.05.2019 under Sections 20-61-85 of NDPS Act, Police Station Ghagga and FIR No.166 dated 11.09.2020 under Section 20-B of NDPS Act, Police Station Ghagga. Further, on instructions from ASI Sinder Singh, it is also apprised that petitioner even has been convicted in the said two referred cases. Thus, on account of bar under Section 37 of the Act, petitioner, who is not even ready to change himself after being involved in two other cases under NDPS Act, in the year 2019 and again in 2020, is not entitled for the concession of bail for the present subsequently registered case on 22.12.2022 under NDPS Act.

5. In view of the above, **petition stands dismissed**. However, in case the information given by the State counsel is found incorrect, it would be open for the petitioner to move an application for restoration of this order and revival of the present petition, however, not before the completion of custody period of *at least* two years by the petitioner.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.05.2026

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No