



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(229)

LPA-1175-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision : March 10, 2026

Usha Saini

.. Appellant

Versus

M/s Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. Hisar and others

.. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Jaynish Saini, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate, for respondents-BPCL.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the same issue was raised in *LPA No.594 of 2026 titled as Mohan Lal vs. Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited and another, decided on 09.03.2026* wherein also, the description of the land was at variance keeping in view the application form and the actual documents which has been considered keeping in view the terms and conditions of the participation and the present case is covered as it has already been recorded by the learned Single Judge that the documents of the lease deed are at variance with the actual facts and details given in the application form.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant concedes that there is a variance keeping in view the information given in the application form and the actual lease deed.

3. Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances as the same issue has already been discussed and adjudicated while passing order in *Mohan Lal’s case (supra)* based upon the terms and conditions of the participation, the present LPA is also dismissed in terms of the order passed in *Mohan Lal’s case (supra)*.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 10, 2026
harsha

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No