



CWP-11337-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11337-2026

Date of decision:-28.04.2026

Harsimran Kaur Grewal

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURIPresent :- Mr. S.S. Rangi, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Didar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have illegally demanded Preferred Location Charges (PLC) which were paid by the petitioner under protest. He submits that a similar petition bearing CWP-9717-2019 titled ***"Daulat Ram Bhatti Versus State of Punjab and others"***, and other connected matters have been allowed on 20.02.2025, whereby the demand raised of additional PLC was quashed and further directions were issued to GMADA for the refund of the amount paid towards PLC by the petitioners therein.

2. Issue notice of motion to respondents.

3. On asking of Court, Mr. A.S. Khara, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1.

4. Mrs. Avin Sandhu, Advocate has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3.

5. Counsel for the respondents are not in a position to dispute

CWP-11337-2026

the case is squarely covered by the judgment in *Daulat Ram Bhatti's* case (supra). However, it has been submitted that a review application has been filed therein, which is pending.

6. In view of the above, writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as the judgment in *Daulat Ram Bhatti's* case (supra).

7. In case the review application is accepted, liberty is granted to either of the parties to seek revival of the writ petition.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

28.04.2026
Brij

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No