



CRM-M-20712-2026 and other connected cases

1

247(2 cases)+219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20712-2026

Anil Kundu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CRM-M-20717-2026

Aman @ AP

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CRM-M-21559-2026

Rohit

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

Decided on: 24.04.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

**Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-20712-2026 and CRM-M-20717-2026)**

**Mr. Naveen Siwach, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-21559-2026)**

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG Haryana

**Ms. Anjali Chaudhary, Advocate
for the complainant**

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of this common order, all the above-mentioned petitions are



being decided together as they arise out of the same FIR and common allegations.

2. All the above mentioned accused namely—(i) Anil Kundu (ii) Aman @ AP and (iii) Rohit have filed bail petitions under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioners, during the pendency of trial, who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
785	21.10.2025	115(2), 190, 191(3), 110, 351(3), 238 of BNS	Barwala	Hissar, Haryana

3. Complainant-Shardanand got recorded following version in the FIR :

"To The SHO, Police Station Barwala. Sir, I, Shardananad, son of Ram Kumar, caste Chamar, resident of Rajli, District Hisar, have been working for about 5 years at Pooja Hotel, Hisar Road, Barwala, owned by Saurabh, son of Suresh, resident of Hasangarh. Last year, on 17-10-2024, Karandeep alias Sheepad, resident of Badhawad, along with 2-4 of his associates, came to the hotel and fired gunshots at the hotel. In this the regard, Case No. 836/24, Police Station Barwala, is already registered, and in that case, Karandeep alias Sheepad had gone to jail and is now out on bail. After being released on bail in the said case, Karandeep alias Sheepad has been continuously pressuring me for several days to withdraw that case. He has been repeatedly calling me and coming to the hotel to put pressure on me, and has been threatening to kill me if I do not withdraw case. On 20-10-2025, at around 11:30 PM, Karandeep alias Sheepad called me and said, Meet me at the hotel, we will deal with you and Saurabh. Then, at around 12:00 midnight, Karandeep alias Sheepad, caste Jat, resident of Badhawad, Sunil alias Gujjar, caste Jat, resident of Badhawad, Nanna, caste Jat, resident of Badhawad, Anil S/o Krishan, caste Jat, resident of Pabda, Aman alias AP, caste Jat, resident of Pabda, Rajbir S/o Pala, caste Jat, resident of Pabda, Rohit S/o Basi, caste Jat, resident of Pabda, Sachin S/o Sundar, caste Chamar, resident of Pabda, and 4-5 other persons came to the hotel on 4-5 motorcycles. I know all of them by caste identity from earlier, and they also know me by caste identity. At that time, Sumit S/o Suresh, caste Jat, resident of Hasangarh, and Satveer S/o Hoshier Singh, caste Jat, resident of Pabda, were present with me at the hotel. All the above persons came to the hotel carrying sticks in their hands.



As soon as they arrived, they hurled caste-based abuses, calling me "Dedh-Kameen," and threatened to kill me if I did not withdraw the case. When we resisted, all of them attacked us together and beat us with sticks and lathis. One of them struck me on the head with a stick with the intention to kill, causing a serious head injury. They again threatened to kill all of us. We saved our lives by running into a room of the hotel and then informed the police helpline 112. The police arrived at the spot, inspected the scene, and we later got our injuries treated at GH Hisar. We have a threat to our life and property from Karandeep alias Sheepad and his above-mentioned associates. You are requested to take legal action against all of them. We have CCTV footage of the incident. Thank you. Sd/- Shardananand Applicant: Shardananand S/o Ram Kumar, caste Chamar, resident of Rajli, District Hisar Mobile: 8901515815."

4. Complainant-Shardananand suffered total 02 injuries i.e. (i) *multiple Reddish Contusion of variable sized around 10x2 cm to 5x2 cm present on back, association with pain. Adv. Ortho Opinion* and (ii) *Patient c/o pain on left gluteal region, no external mark of injury seen. Adv. Ortho Opinion.*

Another injured Sumit suffered total three injuries and same reads as under:

- (i) *Reddish Contusion of size 1x0.5 cm present over left forearm. Adv. Ortho Opinion*
 (ii) *Reddish Abrasion of size 3x2 cm present over left side flank region, associated with pain. Adv. Surgery Opinion*
 (iii) *Patient C/o pain in left shoulder and left hand. Adv. Ortho Opinion.*

During the course of hearing, MLR of another injured Satbir is produced in the Court and the same is taken on record. Injured-Satbir also suffered total four injuries, which reads as under:

- (i) *Lacerated wound of size 2.5 x 0.5 cm present over parietal region of head. Adv. Surgery Opinion*



(ii) Reddish Abrasion of size 1x1 cm present over left arm. Adv. Ortho Opinion

(iii) Reddish Abrasion of size 2.5 x 0.5 cm present over right chest region near clavicle. Adv. Surgery Opinion

(iv) Lacerated wound of size 1x0.25 cm present over left side of abdomen, Adv. Surgery Opinion

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) argues that no specific role is assigned to any of the accused in the FIR and even as per allegation complainant was struck on head with stick by one of the accused (without naming anyone). Referring the injuries again, learned counsel submits that infact Shardananand did not suffer any head injury and it was the injured Satbir, who was inflicted stick blow. However, real assailant is not known. Further submits that petitioners are inside jail since 06.11.2025 and further incarceration period inside jail is no more required for any meaningful reason. It is also submitted that after registration of the FIR, dispute between both the sides is amicably resolved and, therefore, there would not be any objection to the complainant/injured, if the proceeding are quashed or petitioner(s) are released on bail. The factum of compromise is mentioned in the impugned order also, declining bail to the petitioner(s).

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel expresses his complete ignorance about the settlement between the parties and refers to the head injury suffered by Satbir and argues that infact said injury having been suffered by him on the vital part of the body i.e. head, none of the accused is entitled for any concession for their release on bail, thus, prays for dismissal of the present petition(s).

7. Learned counsel for the complainant admits the factum of



compromise between the accused and the complainant party, therefore, expresses her no objection, if petitioners are release on bail.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

9. Considering all the aforementioned aspects and the fact that the compromise has been effected between the parties, this Court finds merit in the prayer of the petitioner(s).

10. Consequently, the present petitions are allowed. Petitioners namely, (i) Anil Kundu (ii) Aman @ AP and (iii) Rohit are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

11. Needless to observe that the petitioner(s) shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

12. All the petitions stand disposed of.

13. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

24.04.2026

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No