

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:057230



(122)

CR-3215-2026

Date of Decision:-16.04.2026

KISHAN CHAND AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

MEG RAJ AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The instant civil revision petition has been filed assailing the order dated 02.01.2025, vide which, the application filed by the petitioners for leading additional evidence has been dismissed.
2. After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioners submitted that the question with regard to identification and demarcation of the land is involved in the suit, so, demarcation report proposed to be proved by way of additional evidence would help the Court in properly adjudicating the controversy, if demarcation report is brought on record.
3. It is not disputed that the trial Court has already called for the revenue record *suo moto*. Thus, in case, the Court would deem it necessary that in order to properly adjudicate the suit, demarcation report is required, then the Court can always call for the said report.
3. Accordingly, the instant revision petition is disposed of.
4. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

16th April, 2026
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No