

**CRWP-4138-2026****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****161****CRWP-4138-2026****Date of decision : 09.04.2026**

Seema Rani and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate for
Ms. Mala Rani, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents and directing respondents No. 2 and 3 not to interfere in the lives of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their live in relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3 dated 07.04.2026, learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Ambala, Haryana seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Ambala, Haryana to look into the aforesaid representation and take

**CRWP-4138-2026****-2-**

appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Ambala, Haryana to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

09.04.2026*renu*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No