



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

CRM-M-19993-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.05.2026

Suhwinder Kaur alias Sukhwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Nitin Narula, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0057 dated 16.07.2023, registered under Sections 302, 201, 120-B, 149 IPC (103, 238, 61(2), 190 BNS), at Police Station Ramdas, District Amritsar.

2. On 10.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that as per the allegations, the petitioner is stated to have strangled the deceased alongwith co-accused Sarabjit Singh and Manpreet Singh, however, as per in para 19 of the judgment dated 02.01.2026 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Amritsar, wherein it has been recorded that while appearing as PW 2, mother of the deceased categorically stated that her son Sukhwinder Singh died on 19.06.2023 due to heart attack and the main accused Sardara Singh, who had given the brickbat blow over his head, has been acquitted. The aforesaid co-accused have since been granted anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 12.02.2026, Annexure P-7. The petitioner is not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 17.04.2026. In the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 27.04.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 10.04.2026 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

13.05.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No