



CRM-M-20088-2026(O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

165+228

CRM-17342-2026 in/and
CRM-M-20088-2026
Date of Decision: 21.04.2026

HARMANPREET SINGH**... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Chandanpreet Kaur Ahluwalia , Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**CRM-17342-2026**

This application has been filed under Section 528 BNSS (482 Cr.P.C) for exemption from filing certified copies of Annexure P-3 and P-4 and permit the petitioner to place on record the copies of the same.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and copies of Annexure P-3 and P-4 are ordered to be taken on record.

Main case:

1. This petition has been filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 (Section 439 Cr.P.C) by the petitioner for grant of regular bail in case F.I.R. No. 0321 dated 14.12.2025 under Section 109, BNS (Section 307 of IPC) and Section 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar Jalandhar [Annexure P/1] (Offence under Section 27, Arms Act, 1959 added later on).



2. The prosecution case is that, in an incident of road rage, the petitioner allegedly fired at the vehicle of the complainant; however, no one sustained any injury.

3. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that no injury was caused to the complainant by the petitioner. It is also submitted that the complainant as well as the eye-witnesses have turned hostile. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and is in custody since 19.08.2025. Hence, a prayer has been made for grant of regular bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rohit Hans, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 04 months and 04 days and is not involved in any other case. He further submits that the licensed weapon has been recovered.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 04 months and 04 days, is not involved in any other case, and that the complainant as well as the eye-witnesses have turned hostile, and further



CRM-M-20088-2026(O&M)

-3-

considering that the trial is yet to commence and is likely to take a considerable time to conclude, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial, as continued detention would not serve the ends of justice.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State/complainant would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

21.04.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:		Yes/No