

2026:PHHC:073287



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-20857-2026
Date of Decision: 11.05.2026

SANJAY THAKUR @ SAMMI THAKUR

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY.

Present: Ms. Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner, (*through VC*)

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No.170 dated 25.03.2026, under Sections 21(b) and 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985 and Section 111 of the BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda.

2. On 18.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that name of the petitioner surfaced on the disclosure statement of co-accused, Narinder Singh, from whom non-commercial quantity of contraband, it being 105 grams of heroin, was allegedly recovered. There is no recovery effected from the petitioner. Reliance is placed on the judgment passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of **Toofan Singh Vs. State of Tamil Nadu**, 2021 (1) RCR (Criminal)

1. He alleges false implication; is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on f of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 25.04.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 01.05.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case, the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 18.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made

absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

MAY 11, 2026.

Rajender

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No