



CRR-1621-2012

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CRR-1621-2012
Date of Decision: 11.03.2026

Sonu @ TotaPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Ishan Cooner, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Cooner, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
270	06.09.2006	Ambala Cantt.	379 IPC

Criminal Case before trial Court	478-1 of 2006 Decided on: 05/07.02.2011
Criminal Appeal before Appellate Court	CrI. Appeal No. 30 of 2011 Decided on: 15.05.2012

Convict's name	Penal provision	Sentence
Sonu @ Tota	411 IPC	RI for 02 years with fine of Rs.1000/-

Seeking setting aside of impugned judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 05/07.02.2011 passed by JMIC, Ambala Cantt. convicting the petitioner and affirmed by Sessions Judge, Ambala, the petitioner had come up before this Court by filing the present revision petition.

2. At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied if the sentence is reduced to the period already undergone by the petitioner in the FIR



CRR-1621-2012

captioned above and he does not want to argue the revision petition on merits. He has handed over an affidavit of the petitioner declaring the mitigating factors which is taken on record. As per the said affidavit, the petitioner is a labourer. He further submits that at the time of offence, he was 22 years of age and he is only 5th pass and has no other skills to make his livelihood. In column no.12, he has stated that due to the nature of work, his right foot is amputated. He is a first offender.

3. State counsel strongly opposes such prayer. State counsel has handed over custody certificate of the petitioner dated 09.03.2026, as per which the appellant has already undergone a period of 03 months and 15 days out of substantive sentence of 02 years.

4. Given the submission made by counsel for the petitioner and State counsel and after going through the record of the case, I am of the considered opinion that ends of justice would be met if the order of conviction is affirmed and sentence of the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him i.e. 03 months and 15 days.

5. With the aforesaid observations, petition stands disposed of. Judgment of conviction is affirmed and order of sentence is modified and sentence is reduced to the period already undergone by the petitioner. Amount of fine is forfeited to State. Surety bonds, if any furnished stands discharged. All pending applications, if any also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

11.03.2026
Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.