



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14506-2022

Date of Decision: **23.02.2026**

DEEPAK ANAND

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

**THE HONBLE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AND
ANR.**

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate with
Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate,
for the respondents.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a mandamus upon respondent no.1 to grant regular pay scale attached to the post of Clerk to the petitioner as he is deemed to have completed the maximum period of probation as per Rule 23 of the High Court Establishment (Appointment and Conditions of Service), 1973. He also seeks a direction upon respondent no.1 to protect the pay of petitioner in terms of the decision dated 20.05.2019 (Annexure P-2), of the Administrative Committee.
2. This Court vide order dated 20.02.2026, had passed the hereinafter instruction order:-

“Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No.1 and 2, apprises this Court that the prayer made through the instant writ petition has already been rendered infructuous, since the probation period of the petitioner stands completed from the date the service appeal of the petitioner is decided by the competent authorities concerned. He further submits that through an order dated 19.11.2025, seniority of the petitioner was ordered to be protected and his salary was fixed.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, today in the Court, rather an adjournment slip has been circulated on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner.

List this matter on 23.02.2026.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

3. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits, though, the relief, as prayed for, has been granted to the petitioner, however, his probation period has not been declared as 'completed' from the date he became entitled in view of Rule 23 (1) of the High Court Establishment (Appointment and Conditions of Service), 1973. Therefore, he seeks permission to withdraw the instant writ petition, however, with liberty to challenge the legality of the order 07.02.2025/14.02.2025 and 19.11.2025

4. In view of the above, the instant petition, stands **dismissed as withdraw**, with the aforesaid liberty.

5. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

February 23, 2026
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable. :	Yes/No