



243 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10833-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.05.2026

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Alankar Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to perform their public duty and clear his final bill dated 08.12.2020 with respect to successful execution of development and management of a web-based application system for recruitment of Jr. Engineers.

2. This is second round of litigation. The petitioner on the previous occasion preferred **CWP-1312-2023** seeking release of payment. The petition was disposed of vide order dated 23.01.2023 with a direction to respondents to consider and decide his legal notice dated 05.12.2022. The respondent was directed to disburse admitted liability. The respondent by impugned order has rejected petitioner's claim. The respondent has advanced many reasons/grounds for rejecting petitioner's claim.

3. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that petitioner has rendered services to respondent. He has submitted invoices still respondent is not making payment. There is no dispute with respect to rendering of services.

4. Learned State counsel submits that a Committee was constituted which pointed out discrepancies in the petitioner's claim. The matter was put up before Director, Urban Local Bodies. The petitioner was granted opportunity to put forth his stand and submit documents in support of his claim but he did not come forward.

5. Heard the arguments and perused the record.

6. The petitioner is claiming that he has rendered services and raised invoices. The respondent on the basis of report of Committee has rejected petitioner's claim. The respondent is claiming that petitioner did not appear before Director, Urban Local Bodies and submit his claim. He may appear before the said Authority and submits his documents.

7. This Court cannot adjudicate disputed questions of fact. This Court can direct respondents to release admitted liability, however, in case of dispute, parties should avail alternative remedies. The respondent is claiming that petitioner may appear before Director, Urban Local Bodies and submit his claim along with documentary evidence. It is appropriate for the petitioner to approach Director, Urban Local Bodies.

8. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a particular date for appearance before Director, Urban Local Bodies may be fixed.

9. Learned State counsel agrees with the aforesaid arrangement.

10. In the backdrop, the petition stands *disposed of*. The petitioner at the first instance shall appear before Director, Urban Local Bodies on 14.05.2026 and thereafter as directed by said Authority.
11. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

05.05.2026

Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No